Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/870,544	ANDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Ahmed M. Farah.	(3)	
(2) Brian R. Pollack (Reg. No. 47,001).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 40-45, 51, 52, 55 and 56 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The language of Claim 54 was discussed in order to clearly recite the claimed invention. Claims 51, 52, 55 and 56 were discussed in view of the prior art of record. Claim 30 improperly depends from a subsequent claim. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend claims 30 and 54, and cancel claims 40-44, 51, 52, 55 and 56. Withdrawn claims 40-45, directed to non-elected inventions, were also cancelled. See the attached Examiner's Amendment.